FMG on Subsidies

- Thanks FMG for the invitation, John Weekes, Professor Lu
- Thanks the speakers, Patrick Low and DDG Anabel Gonzales
- Will comment on speakers and if time permits, share a few thoughts of my own

Comments on Speakers

- Their conclusions: needs for more information and data for better analysis of subsidies; the IO could play more important role on this; transparency should be the first things WTO can do, international cooperation is key - Agree with all these. May start dialogue among members and other resources eg, international organizations, academia, regional agencies, sectoral regulatory bodies, agree on transparency and notifications and could add monitoring mechanism of government support measures in WTO ?
- Also they pointed out that there are calls for revision of existing WTO rulebooks on subsidies especially ASCM and AoA (GATS has no subsidies details) – also agree on this but perhaps no need for major revamp of the basic rules; maybe starting with updating with flexibilities and have sectoral issues and also horizontal considerations ? eg., agriculture subsidies could be redesigned with environment and sustainability purposes, if bringing about "non-actionable" industrial subsidies, should be viewed with intellectual property
- Some speakers may talk about bringing in "Common but differentiated responsibilities CBDR" – we can talk about this but still needs yardsticks. Eg., heavy subsidisers do more ? Do we want to "cut" or just "curb"

Personal views

- **Don't like subsidies** populist tools, making farmers and business weak, distort international trade (monster, big fish)
- But to be realistic, we need subsidies or more precisely government support for the new emerging economy – eg. Farmers adopting digital tools, circular economy – although maybe we need "in kind" support more than "in cash" because money can't generate everything but non-financial assistance is not the subject of today. We focus only financial supports
- Looking at the present world, subsidies are used more than to protect infant, uncompetitive sectors than in the past, now there are more purposes
 - Protect global commons eg., climate, environment "greening economy"
 - Help in **emergency or humanitarian** situation eg., pandemic, famine, natural disasters
 - Shoring up **competitiveness or keep levelled playing field** eg, digital transformation, technology
 - Protect national security or promote strategic sectors eg., CHIPS Act, SOE supports
- So is the current **rules sufficient** to the current needs ? **Probably not**. Are they all valid objectives? Can't say but they are recognized in Article XX so probably can't dispute that.
- What to do ?
 - We may need subsidies but how to contain it so as not to disturb or distort international trade or create a new monster / big fish

- We could start with transparency, monitoring mechanism and increase data-sharing, policy and experience-sharing so people understand each other more.
- On the rules, don't want to see major revamp of basic rules, could do by
 - Encourage non-trade distorting subsidies as much as possible but with "proportionality"
 - **adding flexibilities** to help deal with current world situations (non-actionable for humanitarian or emergency but with proper conditions),
 - provide greater and more practical S&DT provisions (not only time),
 - o looking at horizontal relations (agriculture and climate, R&D and TRIPS),
 - \circ $\;$ adding some sectoral guidelines but with conditions "conditional subsidies"
 - thinking about sunset or time-limit (but will this affect long-term policies even though can curb money spending), or soft-competition rules (for strategic subsidies)
 - Development dimension: sharing benefits from subsidies as a form of S&DT, not only give time (shorter protection from R&D innovation, partnering with developing countries, sin tax fund – more subsidies, more funding, access to technology that are results of subsidies, more market access to accompany subsidies
 - **making subsidies rules more benevolent** than trying to punish it (so people give more carrot than sticks)